



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

2 NAVY ANNEX

WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS

Docket No: 4663-99

31 July 2000

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 25 July 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 6 January 1981 at age 21. Prior to the offenses for which you received the punitive discharge, you received nonjudicial punishment and were convicted by a summary court-martial. The offenses included an unauthorized absence of ninety days, failure to obey a lawful order, communicating a threat, and resisting apprehension.

Subsequently, a special court-martial convened and while the specific charges are not part of your record, it appears that you were convicted of unauthorized absences totalling about ninety days. The sentence, as mitigated, extended to a fine of \$200, forfeiture of \$200, reduction in pay grade, and a bad conduct discharge. You received the bad conduct discharge on 5 October 1985.

In its review of your application the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and immaturity and personal problems. However, the Board concluded that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your

discharge due to the fact that your unauthorized absences totalled nearly six months. Based on the foregoing, the Board concluded that no change to the discharge is warranted. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director